# REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE MENIFEE COUNTY SHERIFF

For The Year Ended December 31, 2001



## EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.kyauditor.net

144 CAPITOL ANNEX FRANKFORT, KY 40601 TELEPHONE (502) 564-5841 FACSIMILE (502) 564-2912

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

## AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE MENIFEE COUNTY SHERIFF

### For The Year Ended December 31, 2001

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the Menifee County Sheriff's audit for the year ended December 31, 2001. We have issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presented fairly in all material respects.

#### **Financial Condition:**

Excess fees decreased by \$969 from the prior calendar year, resulting in excess fees of \$8,561 as of December 31, 2001. Revenues increased by \$25,938 from the prior year and disbursements increased by \$23,686.

#### **Report Comments:**

- Good Bookkeeping Practices Should Be Maintained
- The Sheriff Should Publish His Annual Financial Statement
- The Sheriff Should Require The Depository Institution To Pledge Or Provide Sufficient Collateral And Enter Into A Written Agreement To Protect Deposits
- Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

CONTENTS	PAGE
CONTENTS	PACIE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES	3
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT	5
COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	9
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL	
OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	13



## EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Hershell Sexton, Menifee County Judge/Executive
Honorable Rodney L. Coffey, Menifee County Sheriff
Members of the Menifee County Fiscal Court

#### Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the accompanying statement of receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the County Sheriff of Menifee County, Kentucky, for the year ended December 31, 2001. This financial statement is the responsibility of the County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Audit Guide for County Fee Officials issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the County Sheriff's office prepares the financial statement on a prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the modified cash basis and laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2001, in conformity with the modified cash basis of accounting.

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Hershell Sexton, County Judge/Executive
Honorable Rodney L. Coffey, Menifee County Sheriff
Members of the Menifee County Fiscal Court

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated September 13, 2002, on our consideration of the County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented the accompanying comments and recommendations, included herein, which discuss the following report comments:

- Good Bookkeeping Practices Should Be Maintained
- The Sheriff Should Publish His Annual Financial Statement
- The Sheriff Should Require The Depository Institution To Pledge Or Provide Sufficient Collateral And Enter Into A Written Agreement To Protect Deposits
- Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed - September 13, 2002

#### MENIFEE COUNTY RODNEY L. COFFEY, SHERIFF STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES

#### For The Year Ended December 31, 2001

#### Receipts

Federal Grants		\$ 6,000
State Fees For Services: Court Fees		28,980
Circuit Court Clerk: Sheriff Security Service Fines and Fees Collected	\$ 2,791 1,553	4,344
County Clerk - Delinquent Taxes		2,393
Commission On Taxes Collected		35,174
Fees Collected For Services: Auto Inspections Accident and Police Reports Serving Papers	\$ 1,625 188 5,250	7,063
Other: Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits Penalties On Tax Collections Interest Earned Miscellaneous	2,325 6,524 119 77	9,045
Total Receipts		\$ 92,999

#### MENIFEE COUNTY RODNEY L. COFFEY, SHERIFF STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES For The Year Ended December 31, 2001 (Continued)

#### **Disbursements**

#### Operating Disbursements:

Personnel Services-	
Bailiff Expense	\$ 21,539
Employee Benefits-	
Employer's Share Social Security	5,017
Contracted Services-	
Bookkeeping	350
Materials and Supplies-	
Office Materials and Supplies	1,945
Other Charges-	
Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits	 120
Total Disbursements	\$ 28,971
Net Receipts	\$ 64,028
Less: Statutory Maximum	 55,467
Excess Fees Due County for 2001	\$ 8,561
Payments to County Treasurer - February 11, 2002	 8,561
Balance Due at Completion of Audit	\$ 0

#### MENIFEE COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

December 31, 2001

#### Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### A. Fund Accounting

A fee official uses a fund to report on the results of operations. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

A fee official uses a fund for fees to account for activities for which the government desires periodic determination of the excess of receipts over disbursements to facilitate management control, accountability, and compliance with laws.

#### B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Under this basis of accounting, certain receipts and certain expenditures are recognized as a result of accrual at December 31, 2001.

The measurement focus of a fee official is upon excess fees. Remittance of excess fees is due to the County Treasurer in the subsequent year.

#### C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the County Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

#### Note 2. Employee Retirement System

The county officials and employees have elected to participate in the County Employees Retirement System (CERS), pursuant to KRS 78.530 administered by the Board of Trustees of the Kentucky Retirement Systems. This is a multiple-employer public retirement system that covers all eligible full-time employees. Benefit contributions and provisions are established by statute. Nonhazardous covered employees are required to contribute 5.0 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for nonhazardous employees was 7.17 percent for the first six months of the year and the 6.41 for the last six months of the year. Hazardous covered employees are required to contribute 8.0 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for hazardous employees was 16.78 percent for the first six months and 16.28 percent for the last six months of the calendar year.

MENIFEE COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT December 31, 2001 (Continued)

#### Note 2. Employee Retirement System (Continued)

Benefits fully vest on reaching five years of service for nonhazardous employees. Aspects of benefits for nonhazardous employees include retirement after 27 years of service or age 65. Aspects of benefits for hazardous employees include retirement after 20 years of service or age 55.

Historical trend information pertaining to CERS' progress in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due is present in the Kentucky Retirement Systems' annual financial report which is a matter of public record.

#### Note 3. Deposits

The Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. As of December 31, 2001, the bank balances were fully insured or collateralized at a 100% level with collateral of either pledged securities held by the Sheriff's agent in the Sheriff's name, or provided surety bond which named the Sheriff as beneficiary/obligee on the bond. However, as of January 11, 2001, the collateral and FDIC insurance together did not equal or exceed the amount on deposit, leaving \$6,649 of public funds uninsured and unsecured. In addition, the Sheriff did not have a written agreement with the depository institution securing the Sheriff's interest in the collateral.

The county official's deposits are categorized below to give an indication of the level of risk assumed by the county official as of January 11, 2001.

	Ban	k Balance
Collateralized with securities held by pledging depository institution in the county official's name	\$	450,000
Insured by Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation		100,000
Uncollateralized and uninsured		6,649
Total	\$	556,649

#### Note 4. Federal Forest Service

The Menifee County Sheriff's Office entered into an agreement with the United States Department of Agriculture on March 21, 2001. This agreement states that the Sheriff shall designate deputies that will patrol the Daniel Boone National Forest campgrounds and other designated areas from April 1, 2001, through September 30, 2001. The Sheriff received and expended \$6,000 as of December 31, 2001.



#### MENIFEE COUNTY RODNEY L. COFFEY, COUNTY SHERIFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

For The Year Ended December 31, 2001

#### STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS:

#### 1. Good Bookkeeping Practices Should Be Maintained

The Sheriff's receipts issued were not batched daily, checkout sheets were not prepared daily, and receipts were not posted to a receipts ledger. Technical Audit Bulletin #93-002, Section 4, requires the Sheriff to prepare checkout sheets daily and reconcile checkout sheets with daily deposit totals. Receipts should be recorded to a ledger and deposited within three working days. We recommend that these practices be followed in the future.

County Sheriff's Response:

A limited staff size makes it difficult to do this. There are also a small amount of receipts some days.

#### 2. The Sheriff Should Publish His Annual Financial Statement

The Sheriff's Annual Financial Statement was not published for calendar year 2001. KRS 424.220 requires the Sheriff publish his financial statement in a local newspaper within 60 days after the close of the calendar year. We recommend the Sheriff publish his financial statement annually in the future.

County Sheriff's Response:

We will do this in the future.

3. The Sheriff Should Require The Depository Institution To Pledge Or Provide Sufficient Collateral And Enter Into A Written Agreement To Protect Deposits

On January 11, 2001, \$6,649 of the Sheriff's deposits of public funds were uninsured and unsecured. According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), financial institutions maintaining deposits of public funds are required to pledge securities or provide surety bonds as collateral to secure these deposits if the amounts on deposit exceed the \$100,000 amount of insurance coverage provided by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). The Sheriff should require the depository institution to pledge or provide collateral in an amount sufficient to secure deposits of public funds at all times. We also recommend the Sheriff enter into a written agreement with the depository institution to secure the Sheriff's interest in the collateral pledged or provided by the depository institution. According to federal law, 12 U.S.C.A. § 1823(e), this agreement, in order to be recognized as valid by the FDIC, should be (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution.

County Sheriff's Response:

We have already corrected this.

MENIFEE COUNTY RODNEY L. COFFEY, SHERIFF COMMENTS AND RECOMENDATIONS For The Year Ended December 31, 2001 (Continued)

#### INTERNAL CONTROL - REPORTABLE CONDITION AND MATERIAL WEAKNESS:

#### **Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties**

During our audit we noted the Sheriff's internal control structure lacked an adequate segregation of duties. This deficiency occurs when someone has custody over assets and the responsibility of recording financial transactions. In our judgment, this condition could adversely affect the Sheriff's ability to record, process, summarize, and report accurate financial information. We recommend the Sheriff obtain additional staff to divide the responsibilities or implement the following compensating controls that would help offset the lack of adequate segregation of duties:

- Cash periodically recounted and deposited by the Sheriff
- Periodic reconciliation of reports to source documents by the Sheriff
- All disbursement checks are to be signed by two people and one must be the Sheriff
- All disbursements checks prepared by an employee are examined by the Sheriff for proper documentation when he signs checks
- The Sheriff mails disbursements
- The Sheriff or someone independent of cash receipts and disbursements prepares or reviews bank reconciliations monthly

County Sheriff's Response:

This is due to a limited staff size.

#### PRIOR YEAR:

- The Sheriff Should Maintain Good Bookkeeping Practices
- The Sheriff Should Publish The Annual Financial Statement
- The Sheriff Should Obtain A Written Agreement Of Pledges

# REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



## EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Hershell Sexton, Menifee County Judge/Executive
Honorable Rodney L. Coffey, Menifee County Sheriff
Members of the Menifee County Fiscal Court

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the statement of receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the Menifee County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2001, and have issued our report thereon dated September 13, 2002. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Menifee County Sheriff's financial statement for the year ended December 31, 2001, is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and which are described in the accompanying comments and recommendations.

- Good Bookkeeping Practices Should Be Maintained
- The Sheriff Should Publish His Annual Financial Statement
- The Sheriff Should Require The Depository Institution To Pledge Or Provide Sufficient Collateral And Enter Into A Written Agreement To Protect Deposits

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Menifee County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted a certain matter involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be a reportable condition. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the entity's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statement. A reportable condition is described in the accompanying comments and recommendations.

#### • Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statement being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe the reportable condition described above is a material weakness.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified party.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed - September 13, 2002